1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	YASMIN CHILDS,	Case No. 1:20-cv-01806 AWI JLT
12	Plaintiffs,	ORDER DENYING STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO
13	V.	RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AS UNRIPE
14	IXIA HOLDINGS, LLC, et al,	(Doc. 5)
15	Defendants.	(500.3)
16		_
17	The parties have stipulated to allow the defendants to respond to the complaint within ten day	
18	after the Court rules on the motion to remand the matter to state court. However, there is no such	
19	motion on calendar. Thus, the stipulation is DENIED as unripe ¹ .	
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22	Dated: December 30, 2020	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
23		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24		
25		
26		
2728	¹ If a motion to remand is filed and the parties again file a stipulation to extend the responsive pleading, the stipulation SHALL demonstrate good cause for the delayed responsive pleading. At this time, the Court has no reason to believe that filing a responsive pleading should be delayed because the motion to remand does not impact whether a responsive pleading is needed.	